



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/652,345	08/31/2000	David H. Farb	0146-2026	2909

26161 7590 03/04/2003

FISH & RICHARDSON PC  
225 FRANKLIN ST  
BOSTON, MA 02110

EXAMINER
----------

LI, RUIXIANG

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1646

DATE MAILED: 03/04/2003

27

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/652,345

Applicant(s)

FARB ET AL.

Examiner

Ruixiang Li

Art Unit

1646

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ruixiang Li.(3) Leda Trivinos.(2) Elizabeth Kemmerer.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 27 February 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: proposed claim amendment.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-3, 10, and 26-33.

Identification of prior art discussed: Traynelis et al. J. Neurosci. 18:6163-6175, 1998.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The proposed amendment to claims 1-3, 10, and 26-33 was discussed. The Examiner pointed out that the amended claim 1 raises new issues by adding a new limitation to claim 1, "wherein an NR1 subunit of at least one of the plurality of receptors contains a point mutation corresponding to one or more of : residue 182, 193, 202, 233, and 252 of an NR1 subunit containing an alpha exon encoded protein domain", which requires further search and consideration. Thus, the proposed amendment would not be considered at this stage.

The Examiner further advised applicants that the reference of Traynelis et al. (J. Neurosci. 18:6163-6175, 1998) teaches exactly the same point mutation recited in proposed amended claim 1 (Fig. 2).

Applicants would consider submitting an amendment based upon the objected claim 10, which had been indicated to be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.